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## Hexakis(dimethyl sufoxide- $\kappa$ O)iron(III) tribromide

The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left\{\mathrm{OS}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{6}\right] \mathrm{Br}_{3}$, is isostructural with [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}\left\{\mathrm{OS}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ [Tzou, Mullaney, Normand \& Chang (1995), Acta Cryst. C51, 2249-2252]. The Fe ${ }^{\text {III }}$ centre in the cation occupies a crystallographic $\overline{3}$ site and has a distorted octahedral coordination, with an $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of 2.000 (2) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ angles of 92.01 (7) and $87.99(7)^{\circ}$.

## Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), comprises discrete $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{6}\right]^{3+}\left[\mathrm{DMSO}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$, dimethyl sulfoxide] cations and bromide anions. The closest $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1$ contact is 5.525 (3) $\AA$, indicating that there are no significant interactions between the Fe and Br atoms (Fig. 1). The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of 2.000 (2) $\AA$ differs significantly from the value reported for the nitrate analogue at $2.020 \AA$ (Tzou et al., 1995), but compares well with those of trans- $\left[\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{FeCl}_{4}\right]$ at $2.006 \AA$ (Bennett et al., 1967) and $\left[\mathrm{FeCl}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{5}\right]\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right]$ at $1.998 \AA$ (Ponomarev et al., 1984). As expected, the observed $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ distance is shorter than the distances found in the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{6}\right]^{2+}$ cation, which have an average of 2.128 (3) $\AA$ (Müller et al., 1989), owing to the higher oxidation state in the former compound.

(I)

The coordination polyhedron around the Fe atom is a distorted octahedron flattened in the direction of the threefold axis with the two axial $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ faces $[\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 1 B(-y, x-y, z)$, $O 1 D(-x+y,-x, z)$ and $\mathrm{O} 1 A(-x,-y,-z), \mathrm{O} 1 C(y,-x+y$, $-z$ ) and $\mathrm{O} 1 \mathrm{E}(x-y, x,-z)$ ] having longer [2.878 (3) A] $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ than the remaining edges in the equatorial region [2.778 (3) A $]$. The $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ distance of 1.543 (2) $\AA$ clearly shows the loss of $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ double-bond character upon coordination via the O atom. The $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ vector lies nearly perpendicular to the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ faces (angle between the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ vector and the
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Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $40 \%$ probability level.
vector normal to the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ plane: $2.8^{\circ}$ ). As found in the nitrate analogues of Fe (Tzou et al., 1995) and Cr (Öhrström \& Svensson, 2000), the bromide anion Br 1 resides on a site of 3 symmetry, and Br 2 resides on a site of $\overline{3}$ symmetry. This structure, however, does not have the disorder problems associated with one of the nitrate anions in the aforementioned analogues. There are two Br 1 and one Br 2 anions for each cation.

## Experimental

Anhydrous iron(II) bromide ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMSO ( 10 ml ) while stirring with a magnetic bar. Air oxidation to iron(III) resulted in a yellow solution, which was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then filtered and left standing for the DMSO to evaporate, when yellow crystals of (I) were obtained [yield: $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$; m.p. $436-437 \mathrm{~K}$ (decomposition)]. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : 2986, 2918, 2466, 1624, 1493, 1434, 1414, 1403, 1309, 1289, 1237, 1053, 1014, 984, $948,925,891 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-Vis $415,291,245 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right)_{6}\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$
$M_{r}=764.35$
Hexagonal, $R \overline{3}$
$a=10.528(7) \AA$
$c=22.281(3) \AA$
$V=2231.0(4) \AA^{3}$
$Z=3$
$D_{x}=1.707 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

## Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer $\omega$ scans

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 2896 reflections
$\theta=2.4-24.9^{\circ}$
$\mu=4.98 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294$ (2) K
Prism, yellow
$0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}$

Absorption correction: analytical (Bruker, 1999)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.339, T_{\text {max }}=0.414$ 6099 measured reflections


Figure 2
Crystal packing diagram of (I), viewed along the $c$ axis. $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{S}$ and C atoms are depicted as ellipsoids, and the O atoms define the polyhedra around Fe .

| 879 independent reflections | $h=-12 \rightarrow 12$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 702 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ | $k=-12 \rightarrow 12$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}=0.044$ | $l=-26 \rightarrow 26$ |

$R_{\text {int }}=0.044$
$l=-26 \rightarrow 26$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
H -atom parameters constrained
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.026$
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.037 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.067$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$S=0.99$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.27 \mathrm{e}^{(\Delta / \sigma} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.17 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

The positional parameters of the H atoms were calculated geometrically, and they were refined as riding, with a fixed $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SAINTPlus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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